UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,913	05/01/2006	Eiji Hayashi	Q94726	6881
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 04/16/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	FIELDS, DORON D		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/577,913	HAYASHI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		DORON D. FIELDS	4143		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DON'S INSTRUCTION OF THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6,8 and 9</u> is/are wit Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> objected to. Claim(s) <u>are</u> subject to restriction and/orion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2006</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	er election requirement. er. □ accepted or b)⊠ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) The of References Cited (PTO-892) The of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The of Draftsperson's Patent (s) (PTO/SB/08) The of Draftsperson (PTO/SB/08) The of Draftsperson (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		



Application No.

Art Unit: 4143

Detailed Action

Status of Claims

1. This action is in reply to the application filed on 1 May 2006.

2. Claims 5-6 and 8-9 have been withdrawn from consideration.

3. Claims 1-4 and 7 are currently pending and have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The Information Disclosure Statements filed on 1 May 2006 and 15 February 2008 have been considered. An initialed copy of the Form 1449 is enclosed herewith.

Drawings

5. Figure 29-33 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of repeated information. Lines 8-9 state "and a circulating member of resin ..." The information is duplicated in lines 15-16 that state "the circulating member is made of resin ..."

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 4143

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for

patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1-3, and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ebina et al. (US-PAT-

NO: US-6,089,117 A)

Examiner's Note: The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record

within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are

representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual

claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully

the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of

the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Claim 1:

Ebina et al., as shown, discloses the following limitations:

A ball screw device comprising:

a screw shaft comprising a spiral first screw groove on an outer periphery thereof (see at least

screw 1 and ball rolling groove 5);

a nut screw-engaged with the screw shaft (see at least Fig 2, nut 2), comprising:

o a spiral second screw groove formed on an inner periphery thereof corresponding to the

first screw groove (see at least Fig 2, load rolling groove 6); and

a pair of circulating holes on side surface thereof (see at least Fig 2, fitting holes 8);

a plurality of rolling elements rollably mounted in a load region formed between the first and

second screw grooves (see at least Fig 2, balls 11);

o a circulating member made of resin (see at least Fig 2, ball circulating tubular body 3),

comprising:

o a rolling-element circulating path formed therein (see at least Fig 2, no load ball path 10),

which introduces the rolling element rolling in the load region from one of the pair of

circulating holes to an outside of the nut, and also returns the rolling element to the load

region via other of the pair of circulating holes; and

Art Unit: 4143

o both ends fitted to the pair of circulating holes (see at least Fig 7, tubular pieces 15); and

• a metallic holding member for fixing the circulating member onto the nut (see at least Fig 1, fixture

9).

Claim 2:

Ebina et al., as shown, discloses the following limitations:

The ball screw device according to claim 1 (shown above in the rejection of claim 1),

· wherein the holding member is manufactured by sheet metal press processing (the claimed

invention is defined by its structure, as such, the process of making the holding member is not

given patentable weight. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the

time of the invention to manufacture the hold down member by machine press, thus shaping the

holding member to a desired shape).

Claim 3:

Ebina et al., as shown, discloses the following limitations:

The ball screw device according to claim 2 (shown above in the rejection of claim 2),

• wherein the holding member is manufactured by drawing processing (the claimed invention is

defined by its structure, as such, the process of making the holding member is not given

patentable weight. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of

the invention to manufacture the hold down member by a drawing process, thus shaping the

holding member to a desired shape).

Claim 7:

Ebina et al., as shown, discloses the following limitations:

The ball screw device according to claim 1 (shown above in the rejection of claim 1),

wherein the circulating member comprises legs which fit in the circulating holes of the nut at both

ends thereof (see at least Fig 8, ball circulating tubular body 3 and tubular pieces 15), and

wherein a path for scooping up the rolling elements and a path for returning the rolling elements

are formed in the legs so as to be inclined relative to an outer periphery of the leg, respectively

(see at least Fig 8, no load ball path 10 and guide hole 10b).

Art Unit: 4143

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 11. Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ebina et al. (US-PAT-NO: US-6,089,117 A) in view of Rohlinger (US-PAT-NO: 5,373,755 A).

Claim 4:

Ebina et al., as shown, discloses the following limitations:

The ball screw device according to claim 1 (shown above in the rejection of claim 1),

Ebina et al. does not disclose the following limitations, but Rohlinger, however, as shown does:

• wherein the holding member covers 60 % or more of a part of the circulating member, which is exposed from the side surface of the nut (see at Least Fig 1, clamp 52).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to replace the metallic holding member of Ebina et al. with a clamp of greater surface area as done by Rohlinger to achieve a securer attachment of the circulating member to the ball screw device as a result of the increased contact area between the circulating member and the metallic holding member.

Art Unit: 4143

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this

communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Doron D. Fields

whose telephone number is 571.270.3107. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday,

9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, JAMES A. REAGAN can be reached at 571.272.6710.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217.9197 (toll-

free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to 571-273-8300.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

/Doron D Fields/Examiner, Art Unit 4143 28 March 2008 /James A. Reagan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4143